UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,226	06/23/2006	Walter Blum	RO4265US (#90568)	9147
	7590 12/03/200 CHBERG CO. L.P.A.	8	EXAMINER	
1940 EAST 6T	H STREET		NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/584,226	BLUM ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 0</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are wit 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	hdrawn from consideration. or election requirement. er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/584,226 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Withdrawn Rejections

1. Any rejections and or objections, made in the previous Office Action, and not repeated below, are hereby withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments and arguments in the response dated October 8, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 4, 6 11 and 14 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plamthottam et al. (WO 89/00106) in view of Valdez (USPGPub 2004/0219356 A1).

Plamthottam et al. discloses a self-adhesive, flexible sealing tape (Abstract) comprising at least one flexible, self-adhesive core/carrier layer (Page 3, lines 11 - 15) provided with an envelope/two-sided coating comprising a second adhesive system (Page 3, lines 17 - 20), wherein the envelope/coating of comprises an expanded pressure-sensitive adhesive tape (Page 3, lines 17 – 20; Page 6, lines 18 - 22), and that the material for the core/the carrier layer is selected from the group comprising thermoplastic rubbers on the basis of styrene-isoprene-

Art Unit: 1794

styrene block copolymers, styrene-butadiene-styrene block copolymers, copolymers of vinyl acetate and acrylates (Page 10, lines 4 - 35) that is thermally cross-linked (Page 11, lines 31 - 35) as in claim 1. With regard to claim 2, the material for the core/the carrier layer has a glass transition temperature (Tg) of below $0 \,^{\circ}$ C (Page 10, lines 11 - 15). As in claim 3, the pressuresensitive adhesive tape is based on a pure dispersion acrylate (Page 30, lines 10 - 20; Page 37, lines 25 - 29). Regarding claim 4, the pure dispersion acrylate is based on plasticizing monomers selected from the group consisting of 2-ethylhexyl acrylate, 1-butyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate (Page 30, lines 10 - 20; Page 37, lines 25 - 29). With regard to claim 6, the thickness of the core/the carrier layer is between 0.1 mm and 8 mm (Page 9, lines 10 - 12). As in claims 7 and 15, the width of the core/the carrier layer is between 1 mm and 10 mm (Page 9, lines 10 - 12). Regarding claims 8 and 16, the thickness of the envelope/coating is between 0.2 and 1.5 mm (Page 9, lines 12 - 14). However, Plamthottam et al. fails to disclose the envelope/two-sided coating completely surrounding at least one carrier/core layer, the envelope/coating has a foamlike structure, the sealing tape is equipped with reinforcing elements which stabilize the sealing tape in the longitudinal direction, the reinforcing element is selected from the group consisting of threads, nonwovens or interlaid scrims, wovens, knitted fabrics and crocheted fabrics and the tape is used for adhesively bonding vapour barrier films or vapour retarder films, by adhesively bonding said films to walls.

Valdez teaches disclose an adhesive tape (Abstract) the envelope/coating has a foam-like structure (Page 4, Paragraph 0045), the sealing tape is equipped with reinforcing elements which stabilize the sealing tape in the longitudinal direction (Page 4, Paragraph 0049) and the

Art Unit: 1794

reinforcing element is selected from the group consisting of threads, nonwovens and wovens

(Page 4, Paragraph 0049) for the purpose of forming a tape that can withstand humidity and

extreme temperatures without suffering from disintegration (Page 1, Paragraph 0014).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's

invention was made to have provided the foam tape with the stabilizing structure in Plamthottam

et al. in order to form a tape that can withstand humidity and extreme temperatures without

suffering from disintegration as taught by Valdez.

With regard to the limitation "the tape is used for adhesively bonding vapour barrier films

or vapour retarder films, by adhesively bonding said films to walls", a recitation of the intended

use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention

and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the

prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

With regard to the limitation "the envelope/two-sided coating completely surrounding at

least one carrier/core layer", Plamthottam et al. discloses that the layers of the adhesive tape are

extruded together to form the tape. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

to change the shape of the layers to surround the core since it requires only a change in shape.

MPEP 2144.04.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plamthottam et al. (WO 89/00106) in view of Valdez (USPGPub 2004/0219356 A1) as applied to claims 1 – 4, 6 – 11 and 14 – 16 above, and further in view of Peltier (USPN 3,297,846).

Plamthottam et al., as modified with Valdez, disclose the claimed invention except for the pressure-sensitive adhesive tape comprises an adhesive based on a material selected from the group consisting of vinyl isobutyl ether and isobutene.

Peltier teaches a self-adhesive, flexible sealing tape (Figure 2) comprising at least one flexible, self-adhesive core or at least one flexible, self-adhesive carrier layer (Figure 2, #12) provided with an envelope or two-sided coating comprising a second adhesive system (Figure 2, #18 and 14), wherein the envelope/coating of comprises an expanded pressure-sensitive adhesive tape (Column 3, lines 61 - 70), wherein the pressure-sensitive adhesive tape comprises an adhesive based on a material selected from the group consisting of vinyl isobutyl ether and isobutene (Column 3, lines 62 - 65) for the purpose of attaching the tape to a surface (Column 3, lines 64 - 66).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have provided the adhesive in the modified Plamthottam et al. in order to attach the tape to a surface as taught by Peltier.

Art Unit: 1794

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 11 and 14 - 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to Applicant's argument with regard to the core of the tape being adhesive, please see the newly presented rejections above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (571)272-1496. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 10:00-7:30 & alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L. Dye can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794

/Patricia L. Nordmeyer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794